

# Interview Summary

Application No.  
09/911,399

Applicant(s)  
Matano

Examiner  
Andrea Chop

Art Unit  
3677



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Andrea Chop

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Chris Ward

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview Sep 5, 2003

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: None

Identification of prior art discussed:  
None

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Called Applicant to check on status of Application - PALM was showing a petition and RCE, however, no papers were currently in the file to indicate this. Applicant faxed a copy of the papers.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

ANDREA CHOP  
PATENT EXAMINER  
ART UNIT 3677

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required